GLOBAL VECTOR CONTROL RESPONSE 2017-2030 and review of its progress

Dr Raman Velayudhan Unit Head Vector Control, Veterinary Public Health and Environment, Dept of control of NTDs

Global **Malaria** Programme Department of Control of **Neglected Tropical Diseases** Special Programme for **Research and Training** in Tropical Diseases





For research on diseases of poverty





RISK

BURDEN

80% of the world's population is at risk of one or more vector-borne disease

17% of the global burden of communicable diseases is due to vector-borne diseases

MORTALITY

Over 700 000 deaths are caused by vector-borne diseases annually





GLOBAL BURDEN OF VECTOR-BORNE DISEASES

| Vector | Disease | Estimated or reported annual cases | Estimated annual deaths | Estimated annual DALYs | | |
|-----------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Mosquitoes | Malaria | 212 000 000 | 429 000 | 55 111 000 | | |
| | Dengue | 96 000 000 | 9 110 (70,000) | 1 892 200 | | |
| | Lymphatic filariasis | 38 464 000 | NA | 2 075 000 | | |
| | Chikungunya (Americas) | 693 000 (suspected, 2015) | NA | NA | | |
| | Zika virus disease (Americas) | 500 000 (suspected, 2016) | NA | NA | | |
| | Yellow fever (Africa) | 130 000 | 500 | 31 000 | | |
| | Japanese encephalitis | 42 500 | 9250 | 431 552 | | |
| | West Nile fever | 2 588 | 111 | NA | | |
| Blackflies | Onchocerciasis | 15 531 500 | NA | 1 135 700 | | |
| Sandflies | (Muco) cutaneous leishmaniasis | 3 895 000 | NA | 41 500 | | |
| | Visceral leishmaniasis | 60 800 | 62 500 | 1 377 400 | | |
| Triatomine bugs | Chagas disease | 6 653 000 | 10 600 | 236 100 | | |
| Ticks | Borreliosis (Lyme disease) | 532 125 | NA | 10.5 | | |
| | Tick-borne encephalitis (North Eurasia) | 10 000 - 12 000 | NA | 167.8 / 100 000 | | |
| Tsetse flies | Human African trypanosomiasis | 10 700 | 6 900 | 202 400 | | |
| Snails | Schistosomiasis | 207 000 000 | 200 000 | 2 613 300 | | |
| Various | Other: Rift Valley fever, O'nyong nyong virus, Mayaro virus, Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever, rickettsial diseases, plague (limited data) | | | | | |



KEY TO REDUCING POVERTY

Global vector control response 2017–2030:

- outlines a broad approach
- aligns with the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development
- will contribute directly to achieving SDGs 1, 3, 6, 11, 13 and 17







VECTOR-BORNE DISEASES:

- account for around 17% of estimated global burden of communicable diseases
- disproportionately affect poorer populations
- impede economic development through direct and indirect costs (eg. loss of productivity and tourism)
- are strongly influenced by social, demographic and environmental factors

VECTOR CONTROL:

- if implemented well can prevent many major vector-borne diseases
- contributed to major reductions in malaria, onchocerciasis & Chagas
- has not been used to full potential or maximal impact for other diseases
- can be strengthened by realigning programmes to optimize the delivery of interventions that are tailored to the local context





GLOBAL DISTRIBUTION OF MAJOR VECTOR-BORNE DISEASES

Today more than **80% of the world's population is at risk** from at least one major vector-borne disease...

...with more than half at risk from two or more.

C. Moyes (2017, pc.). Based on data and methods from Golding et al. BMC Med. 2015; 13:249

Includes malaria, lymphatic filariasis, dengue, leishmaniasis, Japanese encephalitis, yellow fever, Chagas disease, human African trypanosomiasis or onchocerciasis. Overlapping global distribution of nine major vector-borne diseases





MAJOR GAINS MADE AGAINST MALARIA THROUGH VECTOR CONTROL

- Estimated 1.2 billion fewer malaria cases and 6.2 million fewer malaria deaths globally (2000 versus 2001-2015)
- 70% of reductions in sub-Saharan Africa attributable to interventions.
- Of this, 69% attributable to ITNs, 21% to ACTS and 10% to IRS

Cibulskis et al. Infect Dis Poverty. 2016; 5:61







MAJOR GAINS MADE AGAINST OTHER VECTOR-BORNE DISEASES THROUGH VECTOR CONTROL

- Onchocerciasis: Large-scale larviciding + community-directed treatment (ivermectin) = nearing elimination of river blindness in West Africa
- Chagas disease: IRS + housing improvements + enhanced blood screening (donors)
 + supportive treatment = interruption of transmission in many countries; decline
 in infestation and infection in children
- Dengue / Yellow fever: Aedes aegypti control in the Americas (1950-60s), Singapore (1970s), Cuba (1980-90s) = elimination / eradication of Aedes aegypti from large parts of Latin America; prolonged low dengue incidence in Singapore and Cuba





CHALLENGES

- Systemic: insufficient public health entomological capacity (human and infrastructural)
- Structural: strong centralised programme lacking in many countries, synergies not leveraged, and resource utilization not optimized
- Informational: weak evidence-base and poor linkage of entomological, epidemiological and intervention data
- Environmental: unpredictable, uncontrollable and complex changes
- Movement of human and goods: increased international travel and trade, humanitarian crises
- Political and financial: limited funds committed and sustained beyond malaria
- Ethical: implementation including novel interventions



OPPORTUNITIES

- Recognition: importance exemplified in existing regional and global vector-borne disease control strategies
- Expansion: build on successes against malaria, onchocerciasis and lymphatic filariasis
- Optimization: re-align across multiple vectors, diseases, sectors and partners
- Collaboration: leverage existing networks for information and resource sharing
- Adaptation: create flexible systems to address specific conditions and challenges
- Innovation: new tools, technologies and approaches on the horizon
- Technology: advances in data collation, planning and implementation
- Development: alignment with Sustainable Development Goals



VISION, AIM AND GOALS

VISION: a world free of human suffering from vector-borne diseases. AIM: reduce the burden and threat of vectorborne diseases through effective locally adapted and sustainable vector control.

GLOBAL VECTOR

CONTROL RESPONSE 2017-2030

| Cools | Milestones | | Targets |
|--|--------------|---|------------------|
| Guais | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
| Reduce mortality due to vector-borne diseases globally relative to 2016 | At least 30% | At least 50% | At least 75% |
| Reduce case incidence due to vector- borne diseases globally relative to 2016 | At least 25% | At least 40% | At least 60% |
| Prevent epidemics of vector-borne diseases* | | In all countries without transmission in 2016 | In all countries |

* Rapid detection of outbreaks and curtailment before spread beyond country.



STRATEGIC APPROACH

REDUCE THE BURDEN AND THREAT OF VECTOR-BORNE DISEASES THAT AFFECT HUMANS

ENABLING FACTORS

Country leadership Advocacy, resource mobilization and partner coordination Regulatory, policy and normative support



IMPLEMENTATION – OF GVCR

Vector surveillance capacity in Europe

| Indicator | <i>Countries with A. aegypti</i> or <i>A. albopictus</i> (n=20) | <i>Countries without A. aegypti</i> or <i>A. albopictus</i> (n=31) |
|--|---|---|
| Surveillance system on vector-borne diseases | 95% | 90% |
| National entomological surveillance | 50% | 55% |









Европейское региональное бюро



Risk assessment

- Three localized geographical areas with established Ae. aegypti were categorized as having a high likelihood for Zika virus transmission
- 18 countries were classified as having a moderate likelihood, as they have established populations of *Ae. albopictus*.
- 21 countries were classified as having a low likelihood, as they have no known established populations of *Aedes* mosquitoes.









Importance of surveillance systems

- To **identify high risk areas** for outbreak prevention
- To **detect localized transmission** (clusters) of disease for prompt intervention
- To predict or detect epidemics quickly for preparedness and early intervention
- To facilitate **planning and resource allocation**, including identifying priority areas for interventions.
- To evaluate the effectiveness of prevention and control programmes
- To estimate the **burden of disease** and provide data for the assessment of the social and economic impact of the disease on the affected community
- To utilize data to target interventions appropriately
- Changes in **Geographic distribution** of vectors



Progress Report 2022

- In 2021, a global survey assessed the national implementation of priority activities (56% response rate). Results indicate that the global vector control response 2017–2030 is on track for some activities (e.g., vector control strategic plans were developed in a percentage of countries that surpassed the 2020 milestone).
- However, targets were not reached for most other activities (e.g. establishment of national training programmes for public health entomology, multisectoral task forces and national research agendas for vector control fell short of the 2020 target).
- Overall, the prioritized activities are feasible. Still, progress in implementation has been below target owing to a lack of dedicated staffing, limited financial resources and disruptions to programmes caused by the COVID-19 pandemic.

Progress of GVCR implementation (2017–2022)

| GVCR adopted and regional plans developed | Implementation of Integrated Vector Management (IVM) | Vector Control Needs Assessment done | Monitoring GVCR implementation | Coordination |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| • All regions | Countries with IVM policy: Africa: 45% Americas: 52% Eastern Mediterranean: 63% Europe: 25% South-East Asia: 63% Western Pacific: 56% Countries with national IVM Unit: Africa: 82% Americas: 81% Eastern Med.: 74%% Europe: 38% South-East Asia: 75% | Global progress: Framework for VCNA developed VCNAs conducted in regions: Africa: Cameroun & Tanzania (planned) Eastern Mediterranean: Iraq, Iran, Morocco, Sudan & Yemen Europe: Armenia, Croatia, Cyprus & Georgia South-East Asia: Bangladesh, India, Maldives, Nepal, Myanmar, Sri Lanka & Thailand Western Pacific: Cambodia & Viet Nam | Data collection in progress: - a dedicated WHO SharePoint site is functional for online reporting of activities and GVCR implementation progress (https://worldhealthorg.sh arepoint.com/sites/NTDIn foHub/GVCR) - summary visualisations of key contributions to GVCR framework are available for use in preparing reports. | WHO Joint Action Group (JAG): established in 2018; quarterly meetings review implementation progress Website: http://www.who.int/vectors comrol/en/ GVCR Conference organized in June 2019 GVCR progress update: 2017 to mid-2020 published. |
| 6 regions (100%) | • Wester (7apiti);: | 18/90 countries (20%) | 6 regions (100%) | 6 regions (100%) |

Global activities reported in 2022





Challenges

- Tracking movement of vectors and need for comprehensive maps for VBD threats;
- Resource generation at country level
- Greater coordination among all partners
- What can all of you do to implement GVCR?
- This is the last window of opportunity to address the lack of vector control and its expertise.....

THANK YOU

For more information: www.who.int/vector-control

To read the Global vector control response : www.who.int/vector-control/publications/global-control-response/

Contact:-VelayudhanR@who.int





Activities in PAHO (2022)



Activities in EMRO (2022)



Activities in SEARO (2022)



Activities in AFRO (Q1-3, 2022)

